Alfred W. Adson (1887–1951): his contributions to surgery for tumors of the spine and spinal cord in the context of spinal tumor surgery in the late 19th and early 20th centuries

Historical vignette

Alfred W. Adson (1887–1951) was the founding father of neurosurgery at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota. Under his leadership the Section of Neurological Surgery was first established in 1919; he functioned as its chair until 1946. Adson first came to Mayo as a fellow in surgery in 1914 and worked as an assistant to William and Charles Mayo, E. Starr Judd, and Emil Beckman, the first Mayo surgical staff member to develop an interest in neurosurgery. In 1916 Adson joined the surgical staff and performed general surgery and the occasional neurosurgical case. Early on, William Mayo had envisioned Adson as a surgeon who would eventually focus entirely on neurosurgery. Adson was initially hesitant to fill this role, but after some convincing by Mayo and satisfying experiences with his first neurosurgical operations he changed his mind about neurosurgery, and in 1921 devoted all his efforts to this new surgical specialty.

Throughout his career he published extensively on the various aspects of this topic. Adson should be included among the other great spinal tumor surgeons of the time.

Illustrative Cases

In 1919 Adson published his initial experience with surgery for spinal tumors. The paper reported 16 cases of presumed spinal cord tumors that Adson had performed surgery on in 1917. Overall, two-thirds of patients improved; remarkable for a “high school boy doing neurosurgery,” as the British army surgeon Sir Berkeley Moynihan referred to the 30-year-old, youthful-appearing Adson when the former was visiting the Mayo Clinic and watched Adson performing what was reportedly his first operation on a thoracic spinal meningioma. Medical records and Adson’s notes for those 16 cases (Table 1) were reviewed for illustrative material.

Intramedullary Spinal Cord Tumors

Case 1 (J. R. W.). It was probably on January 11, 1917, that Adson performed his first operation for a presumed spinal tumor in the thoracic area (see Fig. 1). Six days prior to the operation he saw a 46-year-old man with a 12-month history of progressive paraplegia, sensory
### TABLE 1: Adson's first surgeries for spinal tumors in 16 patients*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Surgical Findings</th>
<th>Spinal Level</th>
<th>Duration of Symptoms</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. J. O.</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>SCT, Hx of syphilis</td>
<td>angioma</td>
<td>thoracolumbar</td>
<td>10 mos</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. H.</td>
<td>21, F</td>
<td>SCT (50%), myelitis (50%)</td>
<td>intramedullary fibroma</td>
<td>cervicothoracic</td>
<td>7 yrs (1 yr marked)</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. W. E.</td>
<td>41, M</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>intramedullary tumor</td>
<td>cervicothoracic</td>
<td>8 yrs (1.5 yrs marked)</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.</td>
<td>32, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>unilat inflammatory mass</td>
<td>thoracolumbar</td>
<td>1.5 yrs</td>
<td>unimproved</td>
<td>drainage of CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. C.</td>
<td>40, M</td>
<td>Hx of syphilis w/ level signs</td>
<td>gumma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>1.5 yrs</td>
<td>unimproved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. R. W.</td>
<td>46, M</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>meningomyelitis</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>unimproved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>31, M</td>
<td>SCT, syringomyelia</td>
<td>meningomyelitis</td>
<td>cervicothoracic</td>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. O. B.</td>
<td>31, M</td>
<td>chronic meningeal cord tumor, Hx of meningitis 9 yrs ago</td>
<td>meningomyelitis</td>
<td>cervical</td>
<td>9 yrs (1 yr marked)</td>
<td>death</td>
<td>death 30 hrs postop from fat embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. A. M.</td>
<td>30, M</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>10 mos</td>
<td>rapid improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. A.</td>
<td>57, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>rapid improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. M.</td>
<td>40, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>glioma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td>cystitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. B. P.</td>
<td>45, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>cervicothoracic</td>
<td>3.5 yrs</td>
<td>moderately rapid improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. L.</td>
<td>35, M</td>
<td>SCT (50%), myelitis (50%)</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>7 yrs</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. D.</td>
<td>45, M</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>fibroma</td>
<td>cervical</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>improvement &amp; relapse at 10 mos</td>
<td>reop for pressure on cord from developing lordosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. E. D.</td>
<td>55, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>rapid improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. E. Y.</td>
<td>45, F</td>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>angioneuroma</td>
<td>thoracic</td>
<td>6 mos</td>
<td>slow improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hx = history; SCT = spinal cord tumor.
changes, and subtle urinary retention. Adson records the following findings in his operative note:

Upon opening the dura, a marked increase in the spinal pressure was observed. The cord was covered with a white plastic exudate which contained the hard calcareous plaque and also many dilated and tortuous blood vessels. The cord was about normal in size but was congested and covered with these tortuous vessels. No tumor could be found in the region of the explored cord. The condition is probably one of myelitis associated with an inflammatory plastic exudate of the membranes.

One year later Adson received a letter from the patient, who reported a temporary improvement in his ability to ambulate until he eventually lost all lower-extremity function as well as bowel and bladder control. Adson promptly replied:

at the time you were here we told you that we found that your condition was due to an inflammatory process, and one which we felt we could relieve to some extent. Of course, such inflammatory processes are sometimes very difficult to control. I was glad to hear of the improvement that was made upon your return home but am not surprised to hear what has happened since then, as every now and then a person will have an extension of this inflammatory disturbance. Unfortunately, a second operation does not give much relief.

Adson's first case illustrates the dilemma in spinal tumor surgery at the time, because in surgery other non-neoplastic processes, mainly inflammatory or infectious in nature, were frequently encountered instead of a tumor, and surgical exploration remained the sole option in the hope for treatment and cure. Based on his description, the intraoperative findings are most consistent with meningomyelitis or a spinal vascular lesion. The case also demonstrates how Adson used the mail for communication with his patients, who frequently traveled long distances to see him; this was a standard practice for physicians to follow up on their patients at that time.

**Case 2 (B.).** On January 16, 1917, Adson performed a C6–T1 laminectomy in a 31-year-old man with a 1-year history of urinary problems, weakness (initially in the lower extremities and progressing to the upper extremities), and severe back pain accompanied by a rash attributed to dermatitis herpetiformis. Adson noted the intraspinal pressure to be markedly increased. Upon opening the dura covering the cord, about 1 1/2 ounces of fluid spurted out. The cord presented a peculiar whitish and filmy exudate. This extended over the 7th cervical and the first thoracic segment. The spinal cord was about normal in size, but the dorsal surface was covered with many tortuous blood vessels.

No tumor was found and the intraoperative picture appeared consistent with meningomyelitis. The patient was discharged and it was not until 1930, 13 years later, that Adson received a letter from another institution regarding the patient’s progress. The patient reportedly had improved to the point where he was essentially free of symptoms for many years until symptoms recurred, which warranted a “redo” operation at that facility in January 1930. The surgeon reported the following intraoperative findings to Adson:

At operation an extra-dural cystic mass was found three or four inches in length with thick walls. The inner surface presented with “Zuckerguss” appearance and contained a mucoid material. Into this cyst projected in the form of a tiny hernia a little tissue from the posterior side of the spinal cord. This was excised and showed nothing unusual on section. Following the removal of the cyst, the cord seemed to pulsate normally. I have never seen such a condition and am at a loss to explain it. As someone has suggested, perhaps a leak of cerebrospinal fluid had persisted into this extradural fascial space permitting the development of an adventitious bursa.

One and one-half years later, the patient had somewhat improved.

The findings at the reoperation, which was performed years later, are indicative of a contained pseudomeningocele, probably a complication of Adson’s operation more than a decade prior.

**Case 3 (A. W. E.).** On June 13, 1917, Adson probably removed his first intramedullary tumor. He performed a C6–T3 laminectomy and notes

dura exposed and opened. Very little fluid escaped. Cord rotated in its bed to expose the vertical surface. Third right dorsal root divided in order to do this and the denticulate ligament held (no tumor anteriorly). Dorsally, the cord was opened for a distance of 2 inches in the midline. Opening of the cord revealed several cysts apparently due to a degenerating tumor of gelatinous nature. The cord appeared normal both above and below the area of cystic degeneration. The condition was due to a cystic degenerating tumor of the cord, probably a glioma. Bleeding in cord substance easily controlled. There was considerable trauma to the dorsal surface of the cord when attempts were made to evacuate the cysts.

Adson concluded “diagnosis questionable,” although the description fits an intramedullary ependymoma.

**Case 4 (G. H.).** This 21-year-old woman presented with symptoms of paralysis involving the lower extremities, bladder, and bowels that localized to the lower cervi-
Adson spinal tumors


The dura was opened and cord partially rotated in its bed—a dural tumor could not be demonstrated. After making the exposure, the dura was found to bulge to a marked degree and had a cystic feel. When opened, the spinal cord immediately extruded through the opening. Upon opening the cord, the tumor presented in the form of a brown cystic necrotic mass. Considerable brown, thick, gelatinous fluid escaped. The tumor did not have a definite outline or cleavage and could not be enucleated. Exposed spinal cord covered with non-adhesive animal membrane before making closure.

In September of the same year, he corresponded with the patient’s referring physician from Detroit and reported that the patient “had not noticed any material improvement.” In 1948, more than 30 years after her operation, the patient mailed a letter to him stating: “I live as normal life as anyone can in a wheel-chair—even go flying. I have full use of my hands and arms, but from the 4th vertebra down there is no feeling whatever.”

Extramedullary Spinal Cord Tumors

Case 5 (W. A. M.). On October 1917, Adson evaluated and subsequently operated on a 30-year-old man for a thoracic meningioma with distinct evidence of a Brown-Séquard lesion (right leg weakness and loss of pain and temperature sensations on the left side below the T-9 level). Sensation disturbances extended as high as the sixth thoracic segment before a normal area was reached. Sensation to touch was normal on the left side. In his operative note, Adson recorded the ability to palpate the tumor through the dura mater after laminectomy at T-6. He removed the extramedullary mass without difficulties, resected the affected dura, and closed the defect with a fascial graft. This case has been separately reported as Adson’s first laminectomy and therefore attracted some attention and fame. Adson’s records indicate the opposite, and prove that he had already performed several laminectomies for spinal tumors that year. The overstatement of the case might be related to the presence of visiting physicians from the British (Sir Berkeley Moynihan), the French (Dr. Duval), and Italian armies (Dr. Bastianelli) during the case (Fig. 2). The patient did well and Adson was so pleased with the patient’s recovery that he invited him to accompany him to scientific meetings in Duluth, Minnesota, in August 1918 and Rochester, Minnesota, in October 1921, where Adson presented his case. Adson’s letter to his patient in August 1918 reads:

You know as well as we do that spinal cord surgery is more or less a closed book, and that we have to convince a few people that something can be done for paralyzed individuals. We shall be glad to cover your railroad expenses and hotel bills while there.

Technical Aspects of Adson’s Spinal Tumor Surgery

Many principles currently applied in spinal tumor surgery have a long tradition. Aside from reporting clinical and pathological aspects in the patients treated, Adson emphasized the technical aspects of spinal tumor surgery in several of his publications. Ether was the anesthetic of choice in the majority of cases. In morbidly obese patients and in those with high surgical risk, local, paravertebral anesthesia was used. This also allowed continuous examination of the patient at a time before neuromonitoring became available. The laminectomy was performed in standard fashion. To preserve stability and avoid deformity, Adson advocated unilateral laminectomies in the cervical region. Adson also found that unilateral laminectomy decreased bleeding and the frequency of shock.

Technical pearls include the division of the dorsal root to rotate the cord or the application of animal membrane to close dural defects. In his early publications and in his medical records, he did not indicate the type of animal. Later he disclosed the secret. He used Cargile membrane, peritoneum of the ox, for this purpose. Muscles and skin were closed in multiple layers with catgut. Generally he preferred 1-stage operations, even though he had to perform surgery in multiple stages due to the extensiveness of the lesions in numerous patients. Postoperatively, patients were kept prone with the head lower than the surgical site for 3–4 days, and then the patient was turned to the side and laid on his or her back for 10–12 days after surgery to enhance wound healing and decrease CSF leakage, particularly if the dura mater was left open after intradural surgery. After 2 weeks the patient was allowed to sit up. Avoiding catheterization was important for the prevention of postoperative cystitis and pyelonephritis, but if catheterization was necessary, care was taken to change the catheter after several days while acid sodium phosphate and urotropin were administered.

Discussion

Between 1919 and 1952, Adson published 19 manuscripts related to the spinal cord and spinal tumors. His publication record demonstrates his great experience with this pathology and underlines his significant value as an important spinal tumor surgeon of the day. Nevertheless, surgery on tumors of the spine was performed long before, with the first reports dating back to the mid-18th century.

The French pathologist Le Cat in 1765 and the Italian anatomist Morgagni in 1761 provided the first accounts of
cord tumors.\textsuperscript{45,46} Morgagni was one of the first to establish a relationship between a lesion in a particular location of the CNS and a neurological deficit by correlating lower-extremity paralysis with spinal cord dysfunction. In his publication, he referred to a case reported by Cowper and Saltzmann, probably an example of Pott disease rather than neoplasia.\textsuperscript{63,64} Centuries prior, Galen of Pergamon (CE 129–199) had investigated spinal cord transection at various levels and corresponding neurological deficits.\textsuperscript{62} Several reports of spinal tumors followed at the end of the 18th and 19th centuries.\textsuperscript{18,19,22,26,47,54,66} As detailed in his publication from 1895,\textsuperscript{55} in 1874 von Leyden was the first to propose that spinal tumors might be amenable to surgery, but surgery was actually not attempted until 1883 when Macewen performed thoracic laminectomies to surgery, but surgery was actually not attempted until 1883 when Macewen performed thoracic laminectomies for resection of a “fibrous neoplasm of the theca,” probably a meningioma, in a patient with complete motor and sensory paralysis and bowel and bladder dysfunction. The patient supposedly made a complete functional recovery.\textsuperscript{63,71,73,74} Adson\textsuperscript{6} and others\textsuperscript{28} credited Horsley with the first spinal tumor resection. In 1887 Horsley resected a meningioma, which resulted in recovery of the patient.\textsuperscript{55} As told by Walker,\textsuperscript{78} at first Horsley did not find the lesion at the expected level, but eventually, on the recommendation of his assistant Ballance that the lesion might in fact be a level higher than the clinical evaluation suggested, he found and removed the tumor. In 1888 Horsley performed a second case, but the patient died shortly after the operation. The report by Gowers and Horsley was certainly a milestone in the history of surgery on spinal tumors. The report also drew much attention from other areas in the medical field and received more publicity than Macewen’s case. For the first time, surgery had proved to be a viable option for the treatment of this entity.

Thorburn,\textsuperscript{65} Abbe,\textsuperscript{1} Roy,\textsuperscript{39} Laquer,\textsuperscript{44} Caponotto and Pescarolo,\textsuperscript{77} Zavaleta,\textsuperscript{76} Eskridge and Freeman,\textsuperscript{32} Schultz,\textsuperscript{60} Putnam and Warren,\textsuperscript{52} Henschen and Lennander,\textsuperscript{37} Krause,\textsuperscript{42} Oppenheim and colleagues,\textsuperscript{48–52} and Cushing\textsuperscript{23,24} all contributed to the literature on the surgical treatment of spinal cord tumors, even though the uncertainty of a correct histological character of the mass confounds the literature; infectious diseases involving the spine (like tuberculosis and syphilis) were prevalent, and the actual nature of true neoplasms was frequently unclear.\textsuperscript{1,27,39,48,74}

The first attempts to resect an intramedullary spinal cord tumor were undertaken by Fenger, reported by Church and Eisendrath in 1892,\textsuperscript{20} and Cushing in 1905 (as related by Walker).\textsuperscript{74} In Fenger’s case, a well-defined “spindle-celled sarcoma” was removed from the posterior half of the cord. Unfortunately, the patient became septic.\textsuperscript{20,55} Cushing removed a small piece of an intramedullary glioma by incising the posterior funiculus, and the patient improved.\textsuperscript{23} The first successful removal of an intramedullary tumor, a “neurofibrosarcoma,” was performed in 1907 by von Eiselsberg and Ranzii,\textsuperscript{21,76} only 4 years after von Leyden stated that intramedullary tumors are not to be touched.\textsuperscript{21,58,72} Even though this case is widely considered the first successful intramedullary tumor removal, it was probably Krause who first resected a lesion, infectious in origin, from the spinal cord. He removed a pea-sized tuberculoma from the thoracic spine, which resulted in significant improvement.\textsuperscript{39} Table 2 summarizes the first case reports on intramedullary spinal tumor surgery. Nine patients had benefited from surgery (see von Eiselsberg Cases I–II,\textsuperscript{57,69} Cushing,\textsuperscript{25} Veraguth and Brun,\textsuperscript{52} Elsberg and Beer,\textsuperscript{31} Krause,\textsuperscript{39} Reichmann-Röpke,\textsuperscript{65} Schulze,\textsuperscript{69} and Rothmann\textsuperscript{58} [case reported by Marburg]), whereas 4 patients died (see Krauss,\textsuperscript{33} Brun,\textsuperscript{6} Elsberg and Beer,\textsuperscript{31} and Krause\textsuperscript{39}).

To counteract the high risk related to intramedullary surgery, Elsberg advocated a 2-stage approach for the removal of intramedullary spinal tumors, a concept he more or less discovered incidentally. In that case, after localization of the tumor and incision of the cord, the patient worsened and surgery was aborted. One week later a second operation was performed, which revealed a large gliosarcoma lying almost outside of the cord, and the patient made an impressive recovery.\textsuperscript{31} Brun\textsuperscript{15,67} and Krause\textsuperscript{40,41} also discussed the utility of a 2-stage approach for intramedullary tumors. Given the close temporal relationship to Elsberg’s paper, the idea of a 2-stage approach for intramedullary tumors might actually have been developed independently by these authors. Von Eiselsberg did not favor the 2-stage approach, and criticized Elsberg’s paradigm.\textsuperscript{69} In the years of the second decade of the 20th century, surgery was also common for intramedullary tumors.\textsuperscript{33,51} Von Eiselsberg’s overly optimistic statement from 1917 that the mortality from surgery on intramedullary tumors was considered equivalent to that from extramedullary tumors exemplifies the progress in the treatment of this disease over just 1 decade.\textsuperscript{69}

Adson’s Contributions to Spinal Tumor Surgery

In 1919, Adson described 16 patients who underwent laminectomies for spinal cord lesions beginning in 1917 (see illustrative cases, Table 1).\textsuperscript{6} Of these 16 patients, half had extramedullary and half had intramedullary lesions, of which 3 were actually meningomyelitis. He found that two-thirds of patients improved after surgery and suggested that exploratory laminectomy was warranted because it is the only way to determine if a tumor is present and potentially removable. Under Adson’s leadership, surgical treatment of spinal cord tumors at the Mayo Clinic improved significantly in just a few years. Of the 23 patients who underwent surgery prior to 1916, only 22% had complete resection and in 39% no tumor was found. From 1916 to 1920, he performed operations in 39 patients and achieved complete removal in 51% and cure or improvement in 56%. The rate of negative exploration dropped to 13%.\textsuperscript{8}

Just a few years into his practice, Adson reported an impressive number of 112 operated cases. A systematic review found that tumor location, duration of symptoms, nerve root pain, and spinal fluid findings including xanthochromia, cell count, and globulin were important signs and indicative of success of recovery. In 76% of cases a tumor was found, and 56% of those had improvement. The mean follow-up duration was almost 3 years. Adson wrote to his patients to obtain follow-up data, and most replied. He concluded that the outcome in the series may have been even better, because patients who are well may be less likely to reply.\textsuperscript{21} Adson also published on specific
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Signs &amp; Symptoms</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Intervention/Surgical Findings</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>von Eiselsberg &amp; Marburg, 1917</td>
<td>29 (27), F</td>
<td>course of disease: ~0.5 yr</td>
<td>T-6</td>
<td>neurofibrosarcoma (4 × 1 cm)</td>
<td>11/13/1907: T7–10 laminectomy at T-6; rt bluish, oval tumor covered by thin cord layer</td>
<td>slow improvement; 22 mos postop ambulatory, normal sensation, no urinary difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Eiselsberg &amp; Ranzi, 1913</td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: reduced to touch &amp; painful stimuli up to 3 fingers above umbilicus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1913: patient “completely cured”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clairmont, 1907</td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: contractions in hip &amp; knee joints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1917: no complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Eiselsberg &amp; Marburg, 1917</td>
<td>36, F</td>
<td>course of disease: ~3 mos</td>
<td>C4–6</td>
<td>cystic tumor</td>
<td>11/25/1910: C4–6 laminectomy &amp; extirpation of intramedullary cyst</td>
<td>rapid improvement; 1913: no difficulties walking, no changes of sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Eiselsberg &amp; Ranzi, 1913</td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: paresthesias in lt hand, tender upper cervical vertebrae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1914: working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothmann, 1913</td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: paresis of lt recurrent laryngeal nerve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krauss, 1910</td>
<td>36, M</td>
<td>sensation: anesthesia up to mammillae</td>
<td>T3–4</td>
<td>tuberculoma</td>
<td>extirpation of intramedullary “tumor”</td>
<td>death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: LE paresis, neurogenic bladder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing, 1910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veraguth &amp; Brun, 1910, Krause, 1911</td>
<td>32, M</td>
<td>course of disease: ~2 mos</td>
<td>C4–5</td>
<td>solitary tuberculoma</td>
<td>11/18/1910: C3–4 laminectomy; edematous spinal cord, small mark it pst, extirpation of tumor; reop after 4 wks</td>
<td>rapid improvement; cured &amp; discharged 56 days postop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veraguth &amp; Brun, 1910, Rothmann, 1913</td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: neck pain, arm paresthesia, lt hand stereognosia, rt dysesthesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: weakness (arms), paresis (lt arm), spasticity (lt leg), lt hemiparesis, lt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>paresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun, 1911</td>
<td>28, M</td>
<td>course of disease: ~3 mos</td>
<td>T-6</td>
<td>subpial glioma &amp; glioma of lt pst funiculus at T-4</td>
<td>09/21/1910: T6–7 laminectomy &amp; extirpation of tumor located subpial, lt pst</td>
<td>death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsberg &amp; Beer, 1911</td>
<td>42, M</td>
<td>course of disease: ~3 yrs</td>
<td>C5–7</td>
<td>gliosarcoma (5.3 × 2 cm; soft)</td>
<td>01/13/1910: C4–T1 laminectomy &amp; incision of edematous pst funiculi</td>
<td>rapid improvement; 2 mos postop ambulatory, normal sensation; able to write 8 mos postop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: neck &amp; arm pain, anesthesia up to mammillae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: LE paresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40, F</td>
<td>course of disease: ~2 yrs</td>
<td>C4–6</td>
<td>cystic glioma (4.5 × 2 cm)</td>
<td>11/19/1910: C4–T1 laminectomy &amp; extirpation of tumor</td>
<td>death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: arm pain, anesthesia up to mammillae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: quadriparesis, neurogenic bladder, hindered respiration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krause, 1911</td>
<td>13, M</td>
<td>course of disease: ~5 mos</td>
<td>T8–9</td>
<td>glioma</td>
<td>01/05/1911: T7–8 laminectomy &amp; longitudinal incision of pst funiculi, expulsion of gliomatous mass</td>
<td>unchanged after mos; discharged 04/20/1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: loss of temperature sensation on rt up to inguinal ligament</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: LE paresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32, M</td>
<td>course of disease: a few wks</td>
<td>T-4</td>
<td>solitary tuberculoma</td>
<td>T4–5 laminectomy &amp; incomplete removal of gray-reddish mass</td>
<td>death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensation: hypesthesia below xiphoid process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>motor: LE paresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
characteristics of 12 tumors involving the conus and cauda equina and how they are unique due to their symptoms and the surgical technique required to treat them.53

In 1924 Adson’s series had grown to 151 patients, and his rate of complete tumor removal was approximately 50%. In 25% of cases, he accomplished partial removal. Approximately two-thirds of patients with complete or partial removal (62%) had a satisfactory outcome. Adson’s experience was published 1 year prior to Elsberg’s landmark paper on surgery of spinal tumors,30 and underlines Adson’s critical contributions to the field. Elsberg, one of the most renowned spinal tumor surgeons at the time, reported on 119 cases. In approximately 80% of patients the tumor could be removed, and 72% of those patients had a satisfactory outcome. Adson’s lower rate of satisfactory outcomes can be attributed to a much higher rate of intramedullary tumors in his series. Interestingly, Adson operated on 32 patients (21%) in whom no tumor was found or the tumor was found later, which compares unfavorably to Elsberg, who claimed to have not found a tumor on first attempt in only 2% of cases in his series. The credibility of this number is in question because just years earlier, Elsberg’s rate was 36%.29

In 1931, Adson and company (see Kernohan et al.)38 proposed a classification of intramedullary spinal tumors based on clinical, surgical, and pathological features. The authors also described the unique correlation between intramedullary spinal tumors and syringomyelia.

Over his career Adson developed an algorithm for spinal tumors emphasizing the importance of distinguishing features observed on neurological examination. He adapted the “three cycle” theory of spinal tumor symptomatology, a theory originally described by Frazier and Allen34 suggesting that all spinal tumors arising from nerve roots, blood vessels, or meninges invariably cause pain (root cycle) followed by partial spinal cord compression (Brown-Séquard syndrome), and eventually complete loss of spinal cord function below the level of the lesion. He also discussed the value of lumbar puncture, CSF analysis, plain radiographs, and Lipiodol (a radiopaque oil) injection for radiographic studies used for diagnosis.3,4,7,10,11 Over the years Adson added to this algorithm.2,8–11 He also suggested that trauma may be a risk factor for certain tumors2,12 and advocated for unilateral laminectomy for tumors of the cervical region to prevent vertebrae from sliding forward. For large dumbbell neurofibromas of the thoracic region, he performed laminectomy followed by thoracotomy. He described and applied several techniques to improve the outcome of surgery. Electrocoagulation became available36 to control bleeding, and dural repair with animal membrane was used to prevent entrance of blood into the arachnoid space and to close dural defects after meningeoma resection, a practice applied frequently by surgeons at the time.12 Guttapercha, a rubbery substance derived from the latex of any of several tropical trees, was used to prevent refilling after opening of intramedullary cysts.12 Adson refrained from operating on patients with metastatic tumors.12

With the advent of what was then called roentgenography used in neurosurgery, Camp, Adson, and Shugrue56 characterized the radiographic appearance of spinal tumors.
Adson spinal tumors

Adson and coworkers also reported an impressive series of 119 intramedullary tumors, including mostly ependymomas and astrocytomas. Both tumors were found to present with a long duration of symptoms, and the operation consisted mostly of removal and partial removal or decompression, with some patients undergoing only biopsy. The authors also observed less aggressive behavior of spinal cord gliomas compared with gliomas of the brain. Patients with tumors higher in the cord fared best, whereas those with tumors of the conus had the worst survival rate, of approximately 5 years. This last paper was published in 1951, the year of Adson’s death, and is a testament to his tremendous dedication and endless effort to contribute to the field of neurosurgery.

Conclusions

Adson made significant contributions to all aspects of the management of spinal cord tumors. This publication will help in giving him late recognition for his work and establish him as one of the pioneers of spinal tumor surgery.
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